Case 2:24-cv-01762-TL Document 6 Filed 01/17/25 Page 1 of 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

DAVID L. BELMONTE a/k/a Dameas Shiruk Duranzan,

CASE NO. 2:24-cv-01762-TL-SKV

12 | Sniruk Duran

Plaintiff,

V.

ORDER ON REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

KING COUNTY et al.,

Defendants.

This matter is before the Court on the Report and Recommendation ("R&R") of the Honorable S. Kate Vaughan, United States Magistrate Judge, which recommends dismissal of this matter. Dkt. No. 4. As the R&R explains, on October 23, 2024, Plaintiff submitted a proposed civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. *Id.* at 1 (citing Dkt. No. 1). However, Plaintiff did not submit either a filing fee or an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* ("IFP"). *Id.* On October 30, the Clerk sent Plaintiff a letter advising him of the deficient submission and explaining that Plaintiff must pay the filing fee or submit an IFP application by November 29, or risk dismissal. *Id.* (citing Dkt. No. 2). The R&R recommends dismissal because "[t]o date,

1	Plaintiff has neither paid the filing fee, nor has he submitted an application to proceed IFP,"	
2	despite having "ample time" to do so. <i>Id.</i> at 2. Objections were due by January 14, 2025 (id.), but	
3	Plaintiff did not file any objections. <sup>1</sup>	
4	The Court agrees with the R&R's conclusion. The Court also notes that Plaintiff's failure	
5	to correct his deficient submission by November 29 is a sufficient ground for dismissal, even	
6	without the R&R process.	
7	Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED:	
8	(1)	The Report and Recommendation is APPROVED and ADOPTED.
9	(2)	This matter is DISMISSED without prejudice for Plaintiff's failure to satisfy the
10		filing fee requirement.
11	(3)	The Clerk is DIRECTED to send copies of this Order to Plaintiff and to Judge
12		Vaughan.
13	Dated	this 17th day of January 2025.
14		Jana St.
15		Tana Lin
16		United States District Judge
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	l pl : 4:ce : "	
24	<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff's mail was returned as undeliverable. Dkt. No. 5. Plaintiff was required to keep the Court advised as to his current mailing address. LCR 41(b)(2).	